SLT Budget Reduction Process Committee

Friday, November 11, 2011

CSC 125-127

10:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.

Team Members: Aleta Anderson, Fatima Nieves, Fiona Hert, Jim Peterson, Laurie Chesley (co-facilitator), Lisa Freiburger (co-facilitator), Marty DeVries, MaryBeth Beighley, Mike Light, Pam DeGryse, Wanda Acevedo-Ferrer

Present: Aleta Anderson, Cindy Martin, Fatima Nieves, Fiona Hert, Laurie Chesley, Marty DeVries, MaryBeth Beighley, Mike Light, Pam DeGryse

1. Welcome

2. The minutes of the October 28 meeting were approved.

3. We briefly recapped our subcommittee meeting held on Wednesday, November 9, at which much progress was made on revising our academic rubric.

4. We spent the rest of the time working through our draft rubrics, point by point. (We did not finish the rubric for Non-Academic Programs and Services.)

Substantive changes made to the rubric for the Narrative Questions were:

- Combine both questions under Alignment into one.
- Many changes in phrasing were made to be more clear and succinct, and to have consistency among questions.

Substantive changes made to the rubric for Academic Programs and Services were:

- We felt that having two questions about “net cost” was unnecessary. We decided to eliminate the question on total net cost of the program and to keep the question on net cost per student of the program.
- For the question on net cost per student, the committee does not understand the measurement proposed by Jim P. around ACS sub-activity and feels we need a more widely recognizable measure.
- For the question on future labor market growth, we changed the percent from 25% to 10% to match that used by MIWorks!
• For the question on transferability of courses, we changed the percentages to below 50%, between 50-75%, and above 75%.
• Many changes in phrasing were made to be more clear and succinct, and to have consistency among questions.

5. Not only did we not have time to discuss the rubric for Non-Academic Programs and Services, but we did not have time to plan next steps for the committee’s work or the upcoming SLT meeting. The team agreed that Laurie and Lisa would meet early the next week to determine next steps and then share them with the team for their feedback before the SLT meeting.